

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,800	DAI ET AL.	
	Examiner CAROLYN SMITH	Art Unit 1631	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** allowed(1) CAROLYN SMITH.

(3) _____.

(2) Tineka Quinton.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 April 2011**Time:** 11am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

54, 58-67, 94-104

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

4/4/11

/Carolyn Smith/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Tineka Quinton agreed to the following Examiner's Amendment:

In claim 54, line 31, after "genes"; insert "in".

In claim 61, line 3, after "2"; insert "...".